

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****OCT 11 2006**

page 6, line 22: cancel "as a function of the stored load step value B_s ,";
line 29: change "in dependence" to ~~as a function~~;
page 7, line 8: change "quantity" to ~~size~~;
line 11: change "speeds" to ~~speed~~;
line 16: change "attachment" to ~~adherence~~ and "with" to ~~against~~;
line 17: change "this" to ~~60 min⁻¹~~;
line 26: change "Yet another" to ~~A further~~;
line 27: change "to move" to ~~of being displaced~~;
line 28: change "against" to ~~from~~;
page 8, line 19: change "quantity" to ~~weight~~ and after "the" (second occurrence) insert ~~number of~~;
line 20: change "parts" to ~~segments~~ and "are" to ~~is~~; and
line 23: change "specifically" to ~~specific~~.

In the Claims:

Cancel claims 1 to 7 and add the new claims appended hereto.

Remarks

The proposed amendments to the specification are sought to be introduced with a view to improving the English idiom and syntax. No new matter has been added as a result of these amendments. Separate sheets with the amended paragraphs are enclosed.

The new claims are proposed for avoiding the allegation raised against the original seven claims under 35 U.S.C. 112 and, more particularly, in order ambiguously to distinguish applicants' invention from U.S. patent 5,335,524 (Sakane).

Having carefully studied the cited U.S. patent, Applicants, with respect, cannot agree with the Examiner that it anticipates their invention. For it will be noted, that in accordance with Applicants' invention the range of revolutions is determined in response to the weight of the laundry filled into the washing